NATIONAL INCIDENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

2018 Report
Nevada

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Printed On: 03/18/2019

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Table of Contents

NIMS Overview .....

NIMS Implementation.....

NIMS Overview

NIMS Process

The NIMS Implementation Assessment is a self-assessment for state, tribal, territorial, and local jurisdictions to evaluate and report on their jurisdiction's implementation of NIMS. Beginning in fiscal year (FY) 2005, Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD)-5 required Federal departments and agencies to make adoption of the NIMS by state, tribal, territorial, and local organizations a condition for Federal preparedness assistance through grants, contracts, or other activities. The National Integration Center (NIC) identifies implementation objectives, as contained in the NIMS Implementation Assessment, to help state, tribal, territorial, and local jurisdictions determine if they have met the HSPD-5 adoption requirements.

NIMS IMPLEMENTATION

Please provide the name and contact information for the individual responsible for overall coordination of NIMS-related activities within your jurisdiction.

Name	Email	Phone Number
James Walker	James.walker@dps.state.nv.us	7756870305

What percentage of your State or Territory's sub-jurisdictions have formally adopted and/or maintained adoption of the National Incident Management System as their all-hazards incident management system for Fiscal Year (FY) 2018?

80-100%

Has your jurisdiction implemented a training program to ensure that all emergency/incident response personnel receive appropriate NIMS training in accordance with their incident management responsibilities, as identified in the NIMS Training Program? (https://www.fema.gov/training-0)

Yes

Please provide an example of how your jurisdiction used NIMS systems and processes during an exercise or real-world incident/event in the past year, and include at least one identified area for improvement.

Participated in the National Mass Care Exercise in Arizona (May 2018). Nevada supported Arizona by sending Mass Care staff to assist; this was done through an EMAC request. We operated using the ICS structure in the State EOC and interacting with FEMA R-IX RRCC and Arizona State EOC through situational awareness teleconferences.
Area for Improvements include: Continued use of the Region IX WebEOC page to share information regionally, work toward more effective regional/multi-state jurisdictional calls (NEP nomination for May 2020), and update State Energy Assurance Plan (EAP), which is being done currently.

What actions have you taken in the past year to support the typing and inventorying of incident resources and assets within your sub-jurisdictions?

Conducted outreach to jurisdictions and tribal nations regarding the Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System (NRS 414A) which requires resources available for mutual aid to be typed and inventoried.

Continuing education through ICS Classes

Technical assistance to jurisdictions and tribes regarding developing typed resource capabilities and inventorying of resources.

What types of challenges do you encounter when typing and inventorying your resources?

Jurisdictions unable to achieve minimum typing standards due to personnel or equipment shortages

What percentage of your State or Territory's sub-jurisdictions use an interoperable tool, such as the Incident Resource Inventory System (IRIS), to inventory response resources and assets?

40-60%

Of the standard core capability targets, for which do you currently have NIMS typed resources (as defined in the Resource Typing Library Tool at https://www.fema.gov/resource-management-mutual-aid)			
Planning	Yes	**Mass Care Services**	No
Public Information and Warning	Yes	**Mass Search and Rescue**	Yes
Operational Coordination	Yes	**On-scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement**	Yes
Infrastructure Systems - Power Restoration	No	**Operational Communications**	Yes
Infrastructure Systems - Communications Restoration	No	**Public Health, Healthcare, and EMS**	Yes
Critical Transportation	Yes	**Situational Assessment**	No
Environmental Response/Health & Safety	Yes	**Economic Recovery**	No
Fatality Management Services	Yes	**Health and Social Services**	Yes
Fire Management and Suppression	Yes	**Housing**	No
Logistics and Supply Chain Management	Yes	**Natural and Cultural Resources**	Yes

Please indicate which qualification systems are being used in your jurisdiction, and how extensive the usage is of each:	
National Qualification System (NQS):	Some potentially deployable personnel
All-Hazards Incident Management Team Association:	Not at all
National Wildland Coordination Group:	Most potentially deployable personnel
Other (please describe):	N/A

Which types of mutual aid agreements, compacts, and/or assistance agreements has your State or Territory established (and/or have in development)?			
Intrastate Agreements		**Interstate Agreements**	
Throughout the State/Territory?	Yes	**That include the Private Sector?**	No
That include the Private Sector?	No	**That include NGOs?**	No
That include NGOs?	No	**That include Tribal Nations?**	Yes
That include Tribal Nations?	Yes		

What percentage of your sub-jurisdictions have mutual aid agreements, compacts, and/or assistance agreements that include the following:	
That include the Private Sector?	0-20%
That include NGOs?	0-20%
That include Tribal Nations?	0-20%

Which actions has your jurisdiction taken in the past year to facilitate sending or receiving resources through mutual aid (Select all that apply)?	
Revised, clarified, or validated existing mutual aid agreements	Yes
Developed new mutual aid agreements	Yes
Aligned additional deployable assets with NIMS-resource typing definitions or EMAC mission ready packages	No
Improved jurisdictional processes for providing resources through mutual aid	Yes
Improved jurisdictional processes for requesting resources through mutual aid	Yes
Activated mutual aid agreements in a real-world incident or exercise	Yes
Developed improvement plans based on exercises or real world incidents which identify areas for improvement specific to mutual aid	Yes
Hosted a mutual aid training (such as EMAC A-Team Operations)	No
Other (please describe):	

What percentage of your sub-jurisdictions have taken the following actions to facilitate sending or receiving resources through mutual aid within the last year:	
Revising existing or developing new mutual aid agreements:	0-20%
Aligning additional deployable assets with NIMS-resource typing definitions or EMAC mission ready packages:	20-40%
Improving jurisdictional processes for providing resources through mutual aid:	20-40%
Improving jurisdictional processes for requesting resources through mutual aid:	20-40%
Activating mutual aid agreements in a real-world incident or exercise:	40-60%
Developing improvement plans based on exercises or real-world incidents that identify areas for improvement specific to mutual aid:	20-40%
Participating in a mutual aid training (such as EMAC A-Team Operations):	0-20%
Other:	

Please describe an action taken the past year to revise or activate (either in an exercise or real-world incident/event) one of your mutual aid agreements, and describe what led to the action being taken:
Local government wildland firefighting resources from NW Nevada were deployed to assist the Duck Valley Sho-Pai Tribe during a large wildland fire threatening the community of Owyhee. Earlier this year the tribe had opted in to the Nevada Intrastate Mutual Aid System (NRS414A). These resources were necessary due to wildland firefighting resources depleted across the Great Basin due to the large number of significant wildland fires. There were no local resources available to assist the tribe.

What EOC structure (as described in NIMS, 3rd Edition) does your jurisdiction use:
ICS/ICS-like (including ICS/ESF hybrid structure)

What percentage of sub jurisdictions use the following EOC structures (described in NIMS, 3rd Edition):	
ICS/ICS-like (including ICS/ESF hybrid structure):	80-100%
Incident Support Model (including ISM/ESF hybrid structure):	0-20%
Departmental or ESF Structure:	0-20%
Ad Hoc (no pre-determined organizational structure):	0-20%
NA (they don't have nor report to any EOC):	0-20%
Other:	

What priorities has your jurisdiction identified to enhance your implementation of NIMS in the coming year? Please check up to three.	
Improve communications and information management equipment	No
Improve information sharing and situational awareness processes	Yes
Increase efforts to inventory all deployable response assets consistently with available NIMS national resource typing definitions	Yes
Improve processes for sending/requesting resources through mutual aid	No
Enhance use of the Incident Command System	No
Improve Emergency Operations Center processes and procedures	No
Improve Multiagency Coordination Group/Policy Group processes and procedures	No
Improve/implement incident personnel qualifications procedures	Yes
Make public information practices consistent with NIMS	No
Incorporate NIMS systems or processes into Standard Operating Procedures	No
Other (please specify)	

Please list any tools, training, guidance, or support that would be helpful in further enhancing your jurisdiction's implementation of NIMS:
National Exercise Program (NEP) Nomination approval for the Tri-State and FEMA R-IX Tabletop Exercise and Functional Exercise (CA/AZ/NV and FEMA) in march and May consecutively 2020. Both response and Recovery will be tested.